

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Chemical Physics Letters 407 (2005) 159-165



www.elsevier.com/locate/cplett

# Influence of isotherm inflection on the diffusivities of C5–C8 linear alkanes in MFI zeolite

R. Krishna \*, J.M. van Baten

Van't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences, University of Amsterdam Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, 1018 WV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received 13 February 2005; in final form 17 March 2005 Available online 7 April 2005

#### Abstract

Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations have been carried out to determine the Maxwell–Stefan (M–S) diffusivities  $D_i$  for linear alkanes with 5, 6, 7 and 8 carbon atoms in MFI zeolite at 433 K for a range of molecular loadings  $\Theta$ . The dependence of the MS diffusivities  $D_i$  on the loading  $\Theta$  was found to exhibit strong inflection behaviour; this is caused by the inflection in the corresponding sorption isotherm. The  $D_i - \Theta$  dependence follows the trend suggested by Krishna et al. [R. Krishna, J.M. van Baten, D. Dubbeldam, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 14820]. The inflection behaviour also extends to diffusivities in binary mixtures. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Zeolites are widely used as catalysts and adsorbents in a variety of applications in the chemical and petrochemical industries [1] and it is generally accepted that the Maxwell–Stefan (M–S) diffusion formulation provides a convenient and general framework that can be used in practice [2–4]. For single component diffusion of species *i*, the M–S formulation

$$\mathbf{N}_{i} = -\rho \Theta_{i} \mathcal{D}_{i} \frac{1}{RT} \nabla_{T,p} \mu_{i} \equiv -\rho \Theta_{i,\text{sat}} \mathcal{D}_{i} \frac{\theta_{i}}{RT} \nabla_{T,p} \mu_{i}$$
(1)

relates the flux  $N_i$  to the chemical potential gradient  $\nabla_{T,p}\mu_i$ . In Eq. (1),  $\Theta_i$  is molecular loading expressed say in molecules per unit cell,  $\Theta_{i,sat}$  is the saturation loading,  $\theta_i \equiv \Theta_i / \Theta_{i,sat}$  is the fractional occupancy,  $\rho$  is the zeolite framework density expressed as the number of unit cells per m<sup>3</sup>, *R* is the gas constant, *T* is the temperature, and  $D_i$  is the M–S diffusivity. For solving practical problems involving single component diffusion it is necessary to have information on the variation of the

\* Corresponding author. Fax: + 31 20 5255604.

E-mail address: r.krishna@uva.nl (R. Krishna).

M–S diffusivity  $\mathcal{P}_i$  with the fractional occupancy  $\theta_i$ . Several publications in recent years have used molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to determine M–S diffusivities of pure components and mixtures in a variety of zeolite topologies [4–11]; these data are in reasonably good agreement with experimental data [12–14] in a few cases. Often, the  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is observed to decrease linearly with occupancy

$$\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{D}_i(0)(1 - \theta_i). \tag{2}$$

More generally, molecule–molecule interactions, zeolite topology and connectivity cause a variety of more complex dependences to be observed [15,16]. Interestingly, for diffusion of CF<sub>4</sub> and ethane in MFI zeolite, MD simulations show an *inflection* in the loading dependence of  $D_i$  [12,14], caused by an inflection in the sorption isotherm [17,18].

In our earlier study using configurational-bias Monte Carlo (CBMC) simulations, we had shown that the sorption isotherms for linear alkanes with 6, 7 and 8 carbon atoms in MFI zeolite exhibit inflection behaviour, due a variety of reasons [19]. It may therefore be expected that the M–S diffusivity  $D_i$  would also show inflection behaviour. The first major objective of the

<sup>0009-2614/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2005.03.073

present communication is to investigate, with the aid of MD simulations, the loading dependence of the M–S diffusivities of nC5 (*n*-pentane), nC6 (9-hexane), nC7 (*n*-heptane) and nC8 (*n*-octane) in MFI zeolite and to test the validity of the model developed earlier [17]. The second major objective is to examine to what extent the isotherm inflection behaviour will influence the diffusion in *binary* mixtures. For this purpose we performed MD simulations with nC5/nC6 and nC7/nC8 mixtures for a variety of loadings.

# 2. CBMC and MD simulations

CBMC and MD Simulations have been carried out for nC5, nC6, nC7 and nC8 alkanes in MFI (all silica silicalite-1, topology shown in Fig. 1a) at 433 K; the crystallographic data are available elsewhere [20,21]. For both adsorption and diffusion simulations we use the united atom model. The zeolite framework is considered to be rigid. We consider the  $CH_x$  groups as single, chargeless interaction centers with their own effective potentials. The beads in the chain are connected by harmonic bonding potentials. A harmonic cosine bending potential models the bond bending between three neighboring beads, a Ryckaert–Bellemans potential controls the torsion angle. The beads in a chain separated by more than three bonds interact with each other through a Lennard-Jones potential. The Lennard-Jones potentials are shifted and cut at 12 Å. Pure component adsorption isotherms for alkanes were determined using CBMC simulations. The CBMC simulation details, along with the force fields have been given in detail in other publications [22]. The simulation box consists of  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  unit cells and periodic boundary conditions were employed. It was verified that the size of the simulation box was large enough to yield reliable data on adsorption and diffusion.

Diffusion in a system of N molecules is simulated using Newton's equations of motion until the system properties, on average, no longer change in time. The Verlet algorithm is used for time integration. The energy drift of the entire system is monitored to ensure that the time steps taken were not too large. A time step of 1 fs was used in all simulations. For each simulation, initializing CBMC moves are used to place the molecules in the domain, minimizing the energy. Next, a fixed number of MD initialization cycles are performed, at which velocities are scaled each cycle to the temperature. This is followed by a fixed number of equilibrium MD cycles. During the equilibrium cycles, statistics are not updated, and the velocities are no longer scaled at each cycle. After a fixed number of initialization and equilibrium steps, the MD simulation production cycles start. For every cycle, the statistics for determining the mean square displacements (MSDs) are updated. The MSDs are determined for time intervals ranging from 2 fs to 1 ns. In order to do this, an order-N algorithm, as detailed in Chapter 4 of Frenkel and Smit [23] is implemented. The details on how diffusivities are determined from the MSDs are also to be found in Frenkel and Smit [23] and elsewhere [11,21]. The Nosé–Hoover thermostat is used to main constant temperature conditions.

In the earlier publications of Sanborn and Snurr [10] and Skoulidas et al. [7], the Onsager matrix [L], defined by  $(\mathbf{N}) = -[L] (\nabla \mu)$  were determined from the MSDs using linear response theory. From the view point of determination of the M–S diffusivities, we find it much more convenient to define a matrix [ $\Delta$ ]

$$\mathbf{N}_{i} = -\frac{\rho}{k_{\mathrm{B}}T} \Theta_{i} \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{\Delta}_{ij} \nabla \mu_{j}; \ i = 1, 2...n$$
(3)

and determine the elements of this matrix from



Fig. 1. (a) Schematic of the MFI topology. (b) CBMC simulations (open symbols) of the sorption isotherms for nC5, nC6, nC7 and nC8 in MFI at 433 K. The continuous solid lines represent the dual-site Langmuir fits of the isotherms with the parameter values specified in Table 1. (c) The inverse of the thermodynamic factor,  $1/\Gamma$ , as function of the molecular loading, using calculations following Eq. (13).

$$\Delta_{ij} = \frac{1}{6} \lim_{\Delta t \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_i} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left\langle \left( \sum_{l=1}^{N_i} (\mathbf{r}_{l,i}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{r}_{l,i}(t)) \right) \right.$$

$$\left. \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} (\mathbf{r}_{k,j}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{r}_{k,j}(t)) \right) \right\rangle.$$
(4)

In this expression  $N_i$  and  $N_j$  represent the number of molecules of species *i* and *j*, respectively, and  $\mathbf{r}_{l,i}(t)$  is the position of molecule *l* of species *i* at any time *t*. From the definition  $\Theta_i = N_i / \rho V$ , where *V* is the volume of the simulation box, we see that  $\rho \Theta_i \Delta_{ij} = L_{ij} k_{\rm B} T$  and therefore the Onsager reciprocal relations  $L_{ij} = L_{ji}$  lead to

$$\Theta_i \Delta_{ij} = \Theta_j \Delta_{ji}. \tag{5}$$

For single component diffusion, n = 1,  $\Delta_{11}$  can be identified with the M–S, or 'corrected' diffusivity  $D_1$ . Eq. (3) can be re-written as

$$(\mathbf{N}) = -\rho[\Delta][\Gamma](\nabla\Theta).$$
(6)

The matrix of thermodynamic correction factors  $[\Gamma]$  is defined by

$$\frac{\Theta_i}{k_{\rm B}T}\nabla\mu_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}\nabla\Theta_j; \quad \Gamma_{ij} = \frac{\Theta_i}{\Theta_j}\frac{\partial\ln p_i}{\partial\ln\Theta_j}; \ i,j = 1,2\dots n,$$
(7)

where  $p_i$  represents the partial pressure (or, more strictly, the fugacity) of component *i* in the bulk fluid phase. The  $\Gamma_{ij}$  can be calculated from knowledge of the multicomponent sorption isotherms [3,4].

The self-diffusivities,  $D_{i,self}$  were computed by analyzing the mean square displacement of each component in the usual manner

$$D_{i,\text{self}} = \frac{1}{6N_i} \lim_{\Delta t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left\langle \left( \sum_{l=1}^{N_i} \left( \mathbf{r}_{l,i}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{r}_{l,i}(t) \right)^2 \right) \right\rangle.$$
(8)

#### 3. Simulation results

The CBMC simulations for the adsorption isotherms are shown in Fig. 1b. The isotherms conform very closely to the dual-site Langmuir isotherm

$$\Theta(p) \equiv \Theta_{\rm A} + \Theta_{\rm B}; \quad \Theta_{\rm A} = \frac{\Theta_{\rm sat,A} b_{\rm A} p}{1 + b_{\rm A} p}; \quad \Theta_{\rm B} = \frac{\Theta_{\rm sat,B} b_{\rm B} p}{1 + b_{\rm B} p}$$
(9)

with fitted DSL model parameters as specified in Table 1. In Eq. (9)  $b_A$  and  $b_B$  represent the DSL model parameters expressed in Pa<sup>-1</sup> and the subscripts A and B refer to two sorption sites within the MFI structure, with different sorption capacities and sorption strengths. The  $\Theta_{sat,A}$  and  $\Theta_{sat,B}$  represent the saturation capacities of sites A and B, respectively. We can rewrite Eq. (9) as a quadratic expression in terms of the pressure:

$$(\Theta_{\text{sat},A} + \Theta_{\text{sat},B} - \Theta)b_A b_B p^2 + ((\Theta_{\text{sat},A} - \Theta)b_A + (\Theta_{\text{sat},B} - \Theta)b_B)p - \Theta = 0.$$
 (10)

The pressure for a given total loading  $\Theta$  within the zeolite can be obtained from the physically feasible solution to Eq. (9)

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\alpha \Theta - \beta}}{\alpha} \right) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} (\sqrt{\beta + 4\alpha \Theta} - \beta), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (\Theta_{\text{sat},A} + \Theta_{\text{sat},B} - \Theta) b_A b_B; \\ \beta &= (\Theta_{\text{sat},A} - \Theta) b_A + (\Theta_{\text{sat},B} - \Theta) b_B. \end{aligned}$$
 (12)

Krishna et al. [17,18] have shown that for many molecule-zeolite topologies the loading dependence of the M–S diffusivity  $D_i$  is dictated by the inverse of the thermodynamic factor defined by Eq. (7). Using Eq. (7), we can obtain the inverse of the thermodynamic factor  $\Gamma$  in the convenient form

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} = x_{A}(1 - \theta_{A}) + x_{B}(1 - \theta_{B}); \quad x_{A} = \frac{\Theta_{A}}{\Theta};$$
$$x_{B} = \frac{\Theta_{B}}{\Theta}; \quad \theta_{A} = \frac{\Theta_{A}}{\Theta_{A,sat}}; \quad \theta_{B} = \frac{\Theta_{B}}{\Theta_{B,sat}}, \quad (13)$$

where  $x_A$  and  $x_B$  are the fractions of the total loading  $\Theta$  present in sites A and B. Eqs. (11) and (12) allow  $1/\Gamma$  to be calculated explicitly as a function of the total loading  $\Theta$ . Fig. 1c shows that the inflection behaviour of  $1/\Gamma$  increases in strength as the chain length increases.

Table 1

Dual-site Langmuir parameters, and zero-loading diffusivities for n-alkanes in MFI at 433 K

	Dual-site Langmuir parameters				Zero-loading diffusivities	
	$b_{\mathrm{A}}$	$\Theta_{ m sat,A}$	$b_{\mathbf{B}}$	$\Theta_{\mathrm{sat,B}}$	D(0)	$D_y(0)$
nC5	$2.33 \times 10^{-4}$	4.0	$1.36 \times 10^{-5}$	4.5	0.63	1.4
nC6	$8.35 \times 10^{-4}$	4.0	$1.35 \times 10^{-5}$	4.0	0.5	1.2
nC7	$3.41 \times 10^{-3}$	4	$3.1 \times 10^{-7}$	3	0.55	1.3
nC8	$1.28 \times 10^{-2}$	4	$2.36 \times 10^{-8}$	1	0.55	1.3

The saturation capacity  $\Theta_{sat}$  has the units of molecules per unit cell. The Langmuir parameters  $b_i$  have the units of Pa<sup>-1</sup>. The diffusivities have the units of  $10^{-8}$  m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. 2. MD Simulation results of the loading dependence of the M–S diffusivities  $D_i$  for *n*-alkanes in MFI at 433 K. In the top row the MD simulated values of  $D_i$  (denoted by open symbols) are compared with the calculations following Eq. (14), shown by continuous solid lines. In the bottom row the M–S diffusivities in the *x*-, *y*-, and *z*-directions are shown as a function of loading.

The MD simulation results for  $D_i$  are shown in the top row in Fig. 2. For all n-alkanes we observe an inflection in the  $D_i$  at a loading  $\Theta = 4$  molecules per unit cell, corresponding to the number of intersections in one unit cell (see Fig. 1a). For nC7 and nC8 the  $D_i$ reduces to virtually zero at  $\Theta = 4$  before increasing when the loading exceeds 4. The bottom row in Fig. 2 gives data on the M–S diffusivities in x-, y- and zdirections (as indicated in Fig. 1a). For all n-alkanes we note that the inflection behaviour is predominantly in the y-direction, i.e., for diffusion along the straight channels; the M-S diffusivities in the x- and z-directions decrease almost monotonously with increased loading. Assuming that beyond the point of inflection, the molecular traffic is only along the straight channels, Krishna et al. [17] derived the following expression:

$$D = D(0)x_{\rm A}(1 - \Theta_{\rm A}/\Theta_{\rm sat,A}) + D_y(0)x_{\rm B}(1 - \Theta_{\rm B}/\Theta_{\rm sat,B}),$$
(14)

where  $\mathcal{D}(0)$  is the zero-loading orientation averaged M–S diffusivity, and  $\mathcal{D}_y(0)$  is the zero-loading diffusivity for transport along the straight channels; the values of these diffusivities are listed in Table 1. The calculations following Eq. (14) are shown by the continuous solid lines in Figs. 2a,c,e,g. We see that Eq. (14) provides

a reasonably good description of the loading dependence of the M-S diffusivities for all four *n*-alkanes investigated.

Another interesting point to note in the data presented in Fig. 2 is that nC7 and nC8 appear to diffuse equally fast in MFI.

Let us now turn to diffusion in binary n-alkane mixtures. We performed simulations for 75-25, 50-50, and 25-75 binary mixtures of nC5 and nC6 at various loadings; the data on  $\Delta_{ij}$  and the self-diffusivities  $D_{i,self}$ are shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3 the pure component data are also included for comparison with mixture diffusion data. We note first that both  $\Delta_{ii}$  and  $D_{i.self}$ show an inflection in the loading dependence at  $\Theta$  = 4. The  $\Delta_{11}$  decreases significantly when the proportion of nC6 in the mixture increases, suggesting that the more mobile species is retarded significantly in the presence of the slower moving species. On the other hand the  $\Delta_{22}$  is hardly influenced by the mixture composition, suggesting that the less mobile nC6 is almost uninfluenced by the presence of the more mobile nC5.

For a loading of  $\Theta = 3.75$ , MD simulations were carried out for a variety of nC5/nC6 mixture compositions; the results are shown in Figs. 4a,b. Increasing the proportion of nC5 in the mixture has the effect of increasing both  $\Delta_{ij}$  and  $D_{i,self}$  an expected result.



Fig. 3. MD Simulation data for (a,b,c)  $\Delta_{ij}$  and (d,e)  $D_{i,self}$  in binary mixtures (pure *n*C5, 75–25, 50–50, 25–75, pure *n*C6) of *n*C5/*n*C6 in MFI at 433 K at various loadings.



Fig. 4. Influence of mixture composition on (a)  $\Delta_{ij}$  and (b)  $D_{i,self}$  in binary mixtures of nC5/nC6 in MFI at 433 K at a loading  $\Theta$  = 3.75 molecules per unit cell.

The MD simulation results for nC7/nC8 mixtures are shown in Fig. 5. Since the pure component mobilities are nearly the same (see Fig. 2), the  $\Delta_{ij}$  and  $D_{i,self}$  values in the mixture have nearly the same values as for the corresponding pure components, and a strong infection in the diffusivities is observed at a loading of  $\Theta = 4$ .



Fig. 5. MD Simulation data for (a,b,c)  $\Delta_{ij}$  and (d,e)  $D_{i,self}$  in binary mixtures (pure *n*C7, 50–50, pure *n*C8) of *n*C7/*n*C8 in MFI at 433 K at various loadings.

# 4. Conclusions

The loading dependence of the M–S diffusivity  $\mathcal{P}_i$  of *n*-alkanes with 5, 6, 7 and 8 carbon atoms in MFI was investigated with the aid of MD simulations. In all cases the  $\mathcal{P}_i$  exhibits inflection behaviour at a loading of  $\Theta = 4$ , corresponding to the isotherm inflection. The loading dependence of  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is reasonably well described by the model of Krishna et al. [17], Eq. (14), in which it is assumed that for loading in excess of that at the inflection point, the molecular traffic is predominantly along the straight channels of MFI.

The inflection characteristics are also reflected in mixture diffusion.

It is necessary to obtain experimental verification of the inflection behaviour as evidenced in our theoretical investigation.

### Acknowledgements

R.K. acknowledges two grants: *Programmasubsidie* and TOP subsidy from the Netherlands Foundation for Fundamental Research (NWO-CW) for the development of novel concepts in reactive separations technology and for intensification of reactors. We gratefully acknowledge D. Dubbeldam, S. Calero, T.J.H. Vlugt, E. Beerdsen and B. Smit for providing the CBMC and MD simulation codes. We acknowledge NWO/NCF for provision of high performance computing resources.

# References

- J. Kärger, D.M. Ruthven, Diffusion in Zeolites and Other Microporous Solids, John Wiley, New York, 1992.
- [2] F.J. Keil, R. Krishna, M.O. Coppens, Rev. Chem. Eng. 16 (2000) 71.
- [3] R. Krishna, R. Baur, Sep. Purif. Technol. 33 (2003) 213.
- [4] A.I. Skoulidas, D.S. Sholl, R. Krishna, Langmuir 19 (2003) 7977.
- [5] E.J. Maginn, A.T. Bell, D.N. Theodorou, J. Phys. Chem. 97 (1993) 4173.
- [6] A.I. Skoulidas, D.S. Sholl, J. Phys. Chem. A 107 (2003) 10132.
- [7] A.I. Skoulidas, T.C. Bowen, C.M. Doelling, J.L. Falconer, R.D. Noble, D.S. Sholl, J. Membr. Sci. 227 (2003) 123.
- [8] S. Chempath, R. Krishna, R.Q. Snurr, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 13481.
- [9] H. Ramanan, S.M. Auerbach, M. Tsapatsis, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 17171
- [10] M.J. Sanborn, R.Q. Snurr, Sep. Purif. Technol. 20 (2000) 1.
- [11] R. Krishna, J.M. van Baten, J. Phys. Chem. B 109 (2005) 6386.

- [12] H. Jobic, A.I. Skoulidas, D.S. Sholl, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 10613.
- [13] G.K. Papadopoulos, H. Jobic, D.N. Theodorou, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 12748.
- [14] C. Shang-Shan, H. Jobic, M. Plazanet, D.S. Sholl, Chem. Phys. Lett. (2005) (in press).
- [15] A.I. Skoulidas, D.S. Sholl, J. Phys. Chem. B 106 (2002) 5058.
- [16] R. Krishna, D. Paschek, R. Baur, Micropor. Mesopor. Mater. 76 (2004) 233.
- [17] R. Krishna, J.M. van Baten, D. Dubbeldam, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 14820.
- [18] R. Krishna, J.M. van Baten, Chem. Eng. Technol. 28 (2005) 160.

- [19] T.J.H. Vlugt, W. Zhu, F. Kapteijn, J.A. Moulijn, B. Smit, R. Krishna, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120 (1998) 5599.
- [20] C. Baerlocher, L.B. McCusker, Database of zeolite structures. Available from: <a href="http://www.iza-structure.org/databases/">http://www.iza-structure.org/databases/</a>>, 12 October 2004.
- [21] J.M. van Baten, R. Krishna, MD Simulations of diffusion in zeolites, University of Amsterdam. Available from: <a href="http://ct-cr4.chem.uva.nl/md/">http://ct-cr4.chem.uva.nl/md/</a>, 1 November 2004.
- [22] D. Dubbeldam, S. Calero, T.J.H. Vlugt, R. Krishna, T.L.M. Maesen, B. Smit, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 12301.
- [23] D. Frenkel, B. Smit, Understanding Molecular Simulations: From Algorithms to Applications, Academic Press, San Diego, 2002.